

250.20

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor

Item E-1

5469 E. Olive Avenue
Fresno, California 93727
Telephone (559) 253-7324
Fax (559) 456-3194
www.sjrc.ca.gov

GOVERNING BOARD

Brett Frazier, Chairperson
*Supervisor,
Madera County Board of Supervisors*

William Oliver, Vice-Chairperson
Councilmember, City of Madera

Andreas Borgeas, *Supervisor
Fresno County Board of Supervisors*

Steve Brandau
Councilmember, City of Fresno

Kacey Auston, *Director, Fresno
Metropolitan Flood Control District*

Carl Janzen, *Director
Madera Irrigation District*

Julie Vance, *Regional Manager
Department of Fish and Wildlife*

Kent Gresham, *Sector Superintendent
Department of Parks & Recreation*

John Donnelly, *Executive Director
Wildlife Conservation Board*

Julie Alvis, *Deputy Assistant Secretary
Natural Resources Agency*

Jennifer Lucchesi, *Executive Officer
State Lands Commission*

Karen Finn, *Program Budget Manager
Department of Finance*

Bryn Forhan
Paul Gibson
Vacant
Citizen Representatives

Melinda S. Marks
Executive Officer

**MINUTES
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER CONSERVANCY
Governing Board
Wednesday, May 2, 2018**

Board Meeting Locations:
Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District
5469 E. Olive, Fresno CA 93727
and
California Natural Resources Agency
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311
Sacramento, CA 95814

CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson Frazier called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m.
and Ms. Forhan led the pledge of allegiance.

A. ROLL CALL

Name	Present	Telecon- ference	Absent	Late
Mr. Frazier	X			
Mr. Oliver			X	
Mr. Borgeas	X			
Mr. Brandau	X			
Ms. Auston	X			
Mr. Janzen	X			
Ms. Alvis		X		
Mr. Hatler	X			
Mr. Gresham	X			
Mr. Donnelly	X			
Mr. Connor		X		
Ms. Finn			X	
Ms. Forhan	X			
Mr. Gibson	X			

Ms. Bains confirmed a quorum was present.

Legal Counsel Present: Michael Crow, Deputy Attorney General (via teleconference)

Staff present: Melinda Marks, Executive Officer
Rebecca Raus, Associate Governmental Program Analyst
Jasanjit Bains, Staff Services Analyst
Heidi West, Program Manager, San Joaquin River Conservancy
Projects, Wildlife Conservation Board

B. PUBLIC COMMENT & BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

The first ten minutes of the meeting are reserved for members of the public who wish to address the Conservancy Board on items of interest that are not on the agenda and are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Conservancy. Speakers shall be limited to three minutes. The Board is prohibited by law from taking any action on matters discussed that are not on the agenda; no adverse conclusions should be drawn if the Board does not respond to the public comment at this time.

There were no comments from the floor.

C. ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

Items identified after preparation of the agenda for which there is a need to take immediate action. Two-thirds vote required for consideration. (Gov. Code § 54954.2(b)(2))

There were no additions to the agenda.

D. POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Any Board member who has a potential conflict of interest may identify the item and recuse themselves from discussion and voting on the matter. (FPPC §97105)

There were no conflicts of interest.

E. MINUTES

E-1 Approve Minutes of April 11, 2018

Mr. Janzen made a motion to approve the meeting minutes for April 11, 2018. Ms. Forhan seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously as follows:

Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Mr. Frazier	X		
Mr. Borgeas			X
Mr. Brandau	X		
Ms. Auston	X		
Mr. Janzen	X		
Ms. Alvis	X		
Mr. Hatler	X		
Mr. Gresham	X		
Mr. Donnelly	X		
Mr. Connor	X		
Ms. Forhan	X		
Mr. Gibson	X		

Mr. Frazier requested the future meeting minutes be included in the Consent Calendar.

F. CONSENT CALENDAR

All items listed below will be approved in one motion unless removed from the Consent Calendar for discussion:

- F-1 Distribution of Habitat Restoration Opportunities Analysis for the San Joaquin River Parkway, Ball Ranch and Willow Unit, Prepared by River Partners (February 2017)

Staff Recommendation: This report is for informational purposes. No Board action is recommended.

Mr. Gibson made a motion to approve items on the consent calendar. Ms. Forhan seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously as follows:

Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Mr. Frazier	X		
Mr. Borgeas	X		
Mr. Brandau	X		
Ms. Auston	X		
Mr. Janzen	X		
Ms. Alvis	X		
Mr. Hatler	X		
Mr. Gresham	X		
Mr. Donnelly	X		
Mr. Connor	X		
Ms. Forhan	X		
Mr. Gibson	X		

DISCUSSION

- G-1 Report for Informational Purposes by Central Valley Community Foundation on the "Fresno Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Initiative," a Potential Local Sales Tax Measure to Fund Parks, Trails, and Recreation Programs in Fresno

Staff Recommendation: Central Valley Community Foundation staff will make an informational presentation about a possible local sales tax measure, currently gathering signatures to qualify for the November ballot, to raise revenue to invest in and support parks, trails, and recreation programs in the city of Fresno. No Board action is recommended.

Ms. Marks introduced Danielle Bergstrom, previously the Policy Director with the Central Valley Community Foundation, and now working on the Fresno Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Initiative.

Ms. Bergstrom stated the Parks Initiative is an issue of great importance. In 2012, Fresno was ranked one of the worst large cities for parks in the United States. In 2017, Fresno ranked 90 of 100 large cities. Fresno spends \$38.00 per capita on parks which is very low, and it's a 39% decrease in funding over the last 10 years. Eighty percent of parks in Fresno are in poor or fair condition. In a poll completed in 2017, results showed City of Fresno the majority of voters would support a sales tax increase of ¼ cent to ¾ cents.

Ms. Bergstrom summarized the City of Fresno Parks Master Plan, which projected millions of dollars in deficits in deferred maintenance, capital for new parks, and renovations for existing parks. The tax initiative would create benefits, address safety and deferred maintenance concerns, and improve parks to meet functional standards. The City would be able to build 80 miles of new trails, and the Parkway within the City would receive \$750,000 per year in funding for operations and maintenance. The campaign needs 23,000 signatures of registered voters to qualify for the November ballot. The measure has a 30-year sunset. Independent oversight of the funds would come from a newly created Parks, Recreation and Arts Commission. Final budgets and expenditure authorizations would be approved by the City Council.

BOARD COMMENTS:

On inquiry from Mr. Borgeas, Ms. Bergstrom confirmed that the parks commission would make the recommendations regarding the funds; and in accordance with the City Charter the council would make the final decisions. She indicated that the measure sets up broad allocations for the funds, but there would remain a lot of discretion for the City Council.

Mr. Frazier, noting that he is a proponent for parks, asked where the lands for new parks would come from. Ms. Bergstrom stated there is an anti-eminant domain clause in the initiative. None of the funds could be used to obtain property through eminent domain. There is not a lot of vacant property in Fresno that could be available from willing sellers.

Mr. Gibson stated from a real-estate perspective, there are changes happening in retail, particularly non-food retail. He foresees some strip malls closing as they will not be able to sustain business; there will be some opportunities to get creative to provide land for parks.

Mr. Brandau thanked Ms. Bergstrom for a great presentation. Although he is concerned there is not enough land for parks, he agreed with Mr. Gibson that there could potentially be parks along, for example, a re-developed Blackstone Avenue.

Ms. Bergstrom noted there is some publicly owned land already available for parks. When River West Fresno is in operation, it will bring significant acreage into the City's parks inventory.

Upon inquiry from Mr. Brandau, Ms. Bergstrom confirmed that only City residents would vote on the initiative.

Mr. Borgeas asked Ms. Bergstrom if she had information related to another possible City tax initiative related to police and public safety. Ms. Bergstrom stated that she did not have information about the possible police public safety proposal. The Fresno Parks Initiative has been focused on building their measure. She noted the Parks measure would include funding for public safety at parks and a park ranger program.

Mr. Frazier asked if the polling was done prior to knowledge about the other initiative. Ms. Bergstrom stated the campaign learned about the discussion of a public safety measure in January.

Mr. Gibson stated, as a Board member of the Boys and Girls Clubs, there are four facilities that are operated under City PARCS. If they were relieved of operations and maintenance of building and property they could probably hire 10 to 30 more staff, that would help 500 to 700 kids. That would be a huge positive for public safety.

Ms. Auston asked if developers have been involved in developing the proposal. Ms. Bergstrom stated they talked to some of the developers, but stayed silent on development in the initiative.

There were no additional comments from the board.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There were no comments from the public.

The item was informational. No action was taken.

G-2 Report for Informational Purposes on County of Fresno Project to Replace the North Fork Bridge on the San Joaquin River in Friant, and Status Report on Demolishing the Derelict Bridge Remnants Near the Same Location

Staff Recommendation: County of Fresno Department of Public Works staff will make an informational presentation at the Board meeting about preliminary plans for the proposed North Fork Bridge Replacement Project and the work they are initiating, and Conservancy staff will provide an update on the status of the Conservancy's River Vista Project within the same area. No Board action is recommended.

Ms. Marks reported that the County of Fresno plans to replace the Road 206 bridge in Friant. The State of California owns property on all four corners adjacent to the road alignment and the bridge, including the Friant Cove, Wagner, and River Vista properties. The County will be working to make sure the bridge design does not change the function of Friant Cove.

Ms. Marks reported that staff has been working with the County of Madera to grant funds for the demolition of the old derelict bridge remnants in the same location. There are many requirements for environmental approval, for a very short-term project to demolish the bridge. Staff has discussed the bridge demolition project with the County of Fresno, to see if any efficiencies could be created through a cooperative project.

Ms. Marks introduced Mohamed Alimi with the Fresno County Public Works Department, Design Division.

Mr. Alimi informed the Board the bridge replacement project was initially scoped as a scour repair project. The 1997 flood severely damaged the existing bridge. The existing bridge was constructed in 1952 when the old Pollasky bridge was damaged by flooding. The concrete bridge where the remnants still exist was built in 1905 and it was at that time the longest concrete bridge in the nation. Because of lack of funding, the bridge that it replaced was 300 feet shorter than the old bridge. The current bridge encroaches into the floodplain of the San Joaquin River. There is no way to mitigate scouring without replacing the bridge. Currently \$10 million has been secured to replace the bridge. They are initiating the design and environmental review.

Mr. Alimi continued, reporting that the bridge is the borderline between Fresno and Madera counties. On the south side of the bridge the abutment encroaches into the river channel about 300 feet. The project would move that abutment. It is urgent to replace this bridge. There are structural issues because of the scour. The new bridge would be significantly larger, maybe 700 feet. There are different regulating agencies involved: the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, etc. The proposed bridge will be downstream of the existing bridge. The plan is to maintain the traffic over the existing bridge throughout construction. The intersection of Road 206 and Friant Road will not be reconfigured or changed. The County will coordinate closely with the Conservancy.

BOARD COMMENTS:

Mr. Frazier asked about the alignment of the new bridge, and whether the design is more complicated in order to avoid the intersection. Mr. Alimi responded that they must build downstream of the existing bridge so that the existing bridge can be operational until the new bridge is completed. They will need to obtain right of way from the Conservancy to realign the road.

Mr. Gibson inquired if the bridge would provide for foot or bicycle traffic. Mr. Alimi stated they are negotiating with Caltrans to increase funding to provide for pedestrian and bicycle improvements. They have also asked to include removal of the old Pollasky remnants. If demolition is not approved for funding, then they would need to work with the Conservancy to fund removal of the old bridge. They are pushing Caltrans to get additional funding because there are recreational trails leading to bridge.

Mr. Janzen stated he would like the County to explore the feasibility of connecting trails underneath the bridge, and if the Conservancy is giving up the land, it should receive benefits as well.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

Mr. Richard Sloan with the River Tree Volunteers, stated that the channel is digging down under the concrete abutments and it is getting dangerous to launch canoes and kayaks from Friant Cove and go under the bridge.

On inquiry from Mr. Donnelly, Mr. Alimi confirmed the existing funding includes the removal of existing bridge. Also, they wrote a letter to Caltrans to justify removal of the old Pollasky bridge; as a rule, the program is trying to fund as many projects as they can across the nation, and try to confine the program to addressing existing bridge safety issues.

Ms. Marks mentioned there are Conservancy funds available to remove the bridge that could be authorized by the Board. Also, one of the first phases is for the County to secure all permits needed to build new bridge; these are the permits that are needed to take out the concrete bridge remnants. It might be possible to coordinate efforts to make this the most efficient in terms of money spent and reduced redundancy; however, this would extend the timeline for the River Vista demolition project.

Mr. Janzen asked whether the entrances to River Vista would need to be moved for the deceleration, acceleration, and turn lanes. Ms. Marks replied that the road entrance is further up the road and would not be affected by the new bridge. She noted that people use that bridge to walk and fish and it is very narrow. The new bridge will be much safer, but requires advanced planning and funding to be sure to accommodate the uses.

On inquiry from Mr. Janzen, Mr. Alimi stated that the target for construction is 2020 to 2023, more likely and realistically, 2025 to 2026, due to the environmental issues.

Mr. Hatler suggested the County coordinate with environmental agencies early. There are numerous local resources, including the Department of Fish and Wildlife. If the County can provide enhanced public access, the project would get a lot of support.

There were no further comments.

The item was informational. No action was taken.

G-3 Status Report for Informational Purposes on Assembly Bill 3218, Authored by Assemblymember Dr. Joaquin Arambula

Staff Recommendation: This item is presented for informational purposes only. No Board action is recommended.

Ms. Marks gave a brief status report on the recent amendment of the Assembly Bill, which has been approved and passed through the Assembly Parks, Water, and Wildlife Committee. Ms. Forhan was at the meeting would provide an update for the Board.

Ms. Forhan expressed her appreciation from the Conservancy to Assemblymember Arambula for his leadership. She appreciated everyone coming together, particularly the City of Fresno, County of Fresno, City of Madera, County of Madera, and Congressman Costa. The bill made it through the first hurdle, the policy committee, which is significant. Now the bill must go through the Appropriations Committee. The San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust spoke in support of the bill at the committee hearing. Everyone was in concert and focused on the goal of making the Parkway a reality.

BOARD COMMENTS:

Mr. Gibson asked if the two supervisors from Fresno and Madera have thoughts regarding the language in the bill. He noted that River Vista could be an obvious first step if the bill goes through.

Mr. Frazier stated that they have been working together to make the Parkway a reality through this bill. Amendments have been incorporated to ensure local control is still part of the Parkway development. He supports the effort and will continue to be engaged.

Mr. Borgeas acknowledged the excellent team effort and recognized Congressman Costa for his expertise and ability to maneuver through controversial issues. Mr. Borgeas stated his concern about not getting answers to questions he had raised. Fresno County counsel prepared an analysis, and they were concerned that the earlier version the Assembly Bill could have create some challenges for the Conservancy and local control. They worked to have the author address those concerns.

Mr. Frazier thanked Ms. Forhan for her involvement, which helped keep those involved bonded together.

Mr. Brandau stated that he and the Mayor of Fresno shared Mr. Borgeas's concerns about the bill, but now that the bill had been revised to address local concerns, the City of Fresno is in full support. He thanked Congressman Costa, Ms. Forhan, and Ms. Sharon Weaver of the San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust, and pledged to Ms. Weaver to go forward "arm-in-arm."

Mr. Borgeas asked if the Board could take action or give direction to staff to encourage or lend support to the bill as it goes to the Appropriations Committee, could we vote or give direction to staff.

Ms. Marks stated that the item is on the agenda as an informational item. Perhaps action could be made in the next Board meeting.

Mr. Borgeas asked if the Board could direct the Executive Officer to draft a letter to encourage support.

Mr. Donnelly advised that the Administration has not taken a position on this bill.

Ms. Marks noted that if an item of support was on the agenda, the State agency members on the Board would likely abstain. She suggested the balance of the members could continue to circulate and sign letters of support from them as individuals. It is possible to add action items to the agenda, subject to specific conditions.

Mr. Crow stated that in this case adding this item as an action item today would not be appropriate under the Brown Act.

Mr. Frazier confirmed the members could continue to be involved in the process as they have done previously.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There was a brief discussion among Board members, and from Ms. Weaver, regarding how the Assemblymember Bigelow, Vice Chair of the Appropriations Committee, might approach the funding that would be needed to implement the bill.

There were no further comments from the Board or members of the public.

The item was informational. No action was taken.

G-4 Authorize Bond Funds and a Grant to the San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust to Contribute to the Coke Hallowell River Center Public Access Improvements Project

Staff Recommendation: It is recommended the Board approve \$400,000 in bond funds and a grant agreement with the San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust (Parkway Trust) to implement elements of the River Center Vision Plan for the Coke Hallowell Center for River Studies (River Center), contributing toward a public access improvement project totaling \$1.7 million, with the balance of funds secured from other sources by the Parkway Trust. Wildlife Conservation Board (WCB) authorization would be requested at their August 2018 meeting.

Ms. Marks reported that this River Center visitor improvement project, located at the location of the restored ranch house, Eaton Trail trailhead, Hidden Homes Nature Trail, and public learning and gathering spaces, has been planned for many years. The Parkway Trust is requesting \$400,000 in Conservancy bond funds. Project elements—both those proposed to be funded by the Conservancy and those from other sources—include: demolition of the existing dairy barn and construction of a replica barn for public use; construction of an interactive water table with hands-on stations that illustrate the complex functioning of the San Joaquin River; a large picnic shelter; a solar power system to serve the entire site; and a native plant garden. The solar power system would help offset some of the operations and maintenance costs generated by the new visitor amenities. State and Parkway Master Plan policies encourage reducing the energy use and the greenhouse gas footprint at Parkway facilities. Parkway Trust is committed to long term operations and maintenance. The project budget in the staff report shows they have secured \$1.3 million for the project in non-state funds. The \$400,000 would come out of a balance of nearly \$27 million for public access and improvement projects. California Environmental Quality Act requirements were met by the County of Fresno, as lead agency. No additional mitigation is required. The Parkway Trust may soon be launching elements of the project that are not funded by the Conservancy.

Ms. Weaver stated there have been talks about this project for almost 10 years. A few years ago an individual donor offered to fund the barn reconstruction and help fund the solar power system. The Parkway Trust has been working on detailed design work on the barn project. There will be a lot of public use of these facilities.

Ms. Marks added that she had the opportunity to host local agency executives for a lunch at the River Center. She used the event as a focus group for input on the project. They were so impressed with the historic preservation and education at the site, and the opportunity to restore the barn. The group was supportive of the project, and sure that the project will attract visitors and attention.

BOARD COMMENTS:

Mr. Janzen asked if the barn will be on the same site as it is now, and followed with various questions about the features. Ms. Weaver responded the barn will be a replica structure, identical to the existing barn; the only change would be additional sliding doors of corrugated metal rather than wood. The group picnic shelter would be served by restrooms on the site.

Mr. Gibson asked about whether Owl Hollow will become part of the River Center operations. Ms. Weaver confirmed that the Conservancy-funded Owl Hollow project, in progress, could be

connected through trails to the River Center, if the Parkway and Conservancy could be successful in acquiring land from Vulcan Materials when it is finished with its operations.

There were no additional comments from the board.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There were no comments from the public.

Ms. Forhan made a motion to authorize bond funds to the San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust to contribute to the Coke Hollowell River Center Public Access Improvements Project as recommended in the staff report. Mr. Donnelly seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote as follows:

Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Mr. Frazier	X		
Mr. Borgeas	X		
Mr. Brandau	X		
Ms. Auston	X		
Mr. Janzen	X		
Ms. Alvis	X		
Mr. Hatler	X		
Mr. Gresham	X		
Mr. Donnelly	X		
Mr. Connor	X		
Ms. Forhan	X		
Mr. Gibson	X		

Mr. Donnelly, Mr. Borgeas, and Mr. Brandau left at 11:37 a.m., after which there was not a quorum; however, there were no remaining action items.

H. ADMINISTRATIVE AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

H-1 Organizations

If time allows, the following oral reports will be provided for informational purposes only, and may be accompanied by written reports in the Board packet. No action of the Board is recommended.

H-1a San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust

Ms. Weaver mentioned the Parkway Trust is experiencing issues with the irrigation pump at Spano River Ranch. The Parkway Trust is still troubleshooting the situation.

H-1b RiverTree Volunteers

Mr. Sloan distributed a handout of activities, including cleanups at Jensen property. On Earth Day there was a big clean up of areas along Highway 99 and Herndon Avenue. There is an upcoming trip on May 23rd from Lost Lake Park to Fort Washington Beach.

H-2 Deputy Attorney General

There were no items to report.

H-3 Executive Officer

Ms. Marks reported on the Executive Officer recruitment. A contract has been signed with an executive recruitment service, which will conduct a survey with key stakeholders. Staff is also working on a request to waive the 180-day waiting period to hire a Retired Annuitant so that Ms. Marks can assist with the transition. There will be a Board ad hoc committee meeting next week.

Ms. Raus has been looking into how to get started with the project to connect the Liddell property to the municipal water system. The Department of General Services (DGS) will not meet with the Conservancy to discuss the scope of work and budget unless we have provided funding that they can charge their time to. The Board and Wildlife Conservation Board might need to authorize funds just to meet with DGS.

Mr. Gresham of Millerton Lake State Recreation Area hired a new employee who is seeking state employee housing. The Conservancy has three residences that are vacant: one on the Vulcan property and two on the Vinnard property. The Vulcan property that is available for Millerton Lake's employee was recently vacated. It was previously used as an office by Vulcan Materials, has a new roof and is clean and ready for move-in. The home is safe and sanitary. The Conservancy is entering into an agreement to rent housing to the employee, like the one we already have for the Wagner property. The collected rent would provide for a contract with State Parks to provide maintenance. This would make sure the residence is not vacant, which would create issues down the road. There was a brief discussion of the possibility of renting the two residences at Vinnard.

Ms. Marks reported that staff has been very busy processing contracts and numerous license agreements. Jasanjit and Rebecca have processed about 20 agreements, including entering them into the new state Fi\$cal system. Ms. Raus has become the staff expert on State contracts, and she learned from previous staff member Josh Morgan.

H-4 Board Reports

Mr. Janzen mentioned that the Habitat Restoration Opportunities Analysis report (item F-1) focuses too much on restoring wildlife that are rare, rather than on supporting the wildlife presently there such as deer, beavers, and other animals. We cannot recreate the past conditions.

Mr. Hatler mentioned that planning documents, such as the report, intend to facilitate and identify actions that can improve conditions for certain of wildlife and plants.

Ms. Marks noted there is a student from Fresno State that is completing his Master's Degree and has cameras placed at Ball Ranch and Ledger Island. She will invite him to make a presentation about Ball Ranch wildlife at a future meeting.

Mr. Frazier requested an update from staff regarding the requests at the last meeting from MACTRA and Golden Bear. Mr. Frazier suggested having Board meetings in Madera, alternating between Fresno and Madera or having the meetings in Madera once a quarter.

Ms. Marks reported the representatives of MACTRA and Golden Bear have been added to committee and Board agenda distribution lists. The Conservancy sent a follow-up letter to MACTRA to address their concerns. Regarding the issue of the dotted line showing the possible

trail in the Master Plan, Placeworks is working on all revisions of the Final EIR and Board direction, including deleting the trail line. The final documents will be posted online.

H-3a River West Fresno, Eaton Trail Extension Work Group, Report on Meeting of April 23, 2018

Ms. Marks reported she is working on a formal report to the Board regarding accomplishments, goals and how to meet benchmarks stated in the Board's Resolution of approval. The San Joaquin River Access Corporation is working on the post-closure land use plan, they secured a consultant, and are renegotiating the terms of the easement with Mr. Spano. At the June meeting there will be an agenda item with a report to the Board to see if the Board's expectations are being met.

H-4 Board Members' Reports

I. EXECUTIVE SESSION

Before convening in closed session, members of the public will be provided the opportunity to comment on Executive Session agenda items.

None.

J. NOTICE OF BOARD, ADVISORY, AND PUBLIC MEETINGS

None.


K. NEXT BOARD MEETING DATE

The next Board meeting will be held June 6, 2018. **Please note the earlier starting time of 10:00 a.m. for meetings in February through October.**

L. ADJOURN

Mr. Frazier adjourned the meeting at 11:47 a.m.

Respectfully Submitted,


Melinda S. Marks, Executive Officer